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(These notes are of a technical nature beyond language learning, intended mainly for teachers.)

When a person wants to use living language methods to learn a language, one is required to make some choices about what kind of pronunciation system to use. As long as students only need to write Greek or to look at Greek on a printed page, the pronunciation system is not a very important issue. As soon as students set their sights on a higher goal and want to include language learning methodologies that will lead to a fluent control of the language, they must come to grips with the need to include audio and oral material in a program. And audio material for an ancient language means that decisions must be made about the kind of pronunciation system to be used.

Principles Governing the Pronunciation in this Course

1. The pronunciation system is primarily intended for persons wishing to learn Koine Greek, the general Greek dialect used from the third century before the common era (BCE) to the fourth century of the common era (CE). In particular, the focus is on the Koine Greek of what is historically the Roman period in the land of Israel, 63 BCE to 325 CE.
2. The pronunciation should preserve the same significant sound distinctions that were used in the Roman period. This means that the pronunciation system should be phonemic. This term will be explained below.
3. The pronunciation system should, as far as practical, be historical. That is, it should reflect the way in which the language sounded in the Roman period.
4. The pronunciation should, as far as practical, help students with adapting to other dialects of Greek so as to become a bridge to the whole Greek language. When in doubt, decisions should fit with the known historical outcome.
5. The pronunciation should be practical, as far as possible, for speakers of English, Spanish, French and German.

The Phonemic Principle

What does phonemic mean? It refers to the sounds of a language that make a meaningful distinction.

For example, in English the vowel sound in the words “top”, “tape” and “tip” distinguish different words and different meanings. Those three vowel sounds are phonemic for standard English. English speakers use those three sounds for making different words.

On the other hand, the t sound in the English words “top” and “stop” is slightly different. The t in “top” has a puff of air after it that the t in “stop” does not have. To perceive this distinction, put the palm of your hand on your lips directly on your mouth. Slowly pronounce “top” and then slowly pronounce “stop”. Repeat the t part of “top” and “stop”, as necessary. This can be dramatically demonstrated with a candle where a speaker can blow out a candle with “top” but will leave it burning with “stop”. English speakers consistently make these different t sounds but they do not use these different sounds to make different words. In fact, English speakers are not usually aware of the distinction of these t sounds until it is pointed out to them. The t of “top” is called aspirated by linguists, and the t of “stop” is called unaspirated. These phonetic sound distinctions are real but they are not phonemic for English.

In addition to phonemic distinctions, English also has different words that are spelled
differently but are pronounced identically. For example:

beet ‘a vegetable’ and
beat ‘musical rhythm’. (It is also a verb, ‘to hit’.)

The words “beet” and “beat” are pronounced exactly the same but are spelled differently. Even
though there is a difference of meaning, the exact same phonemic sounds are used. An English
speaker hears these words as identical in sound.

Since good English courses would train English learners to hear the phonemic distinctions of
English, it would be good to train Koine Greek learners to hear as distinct, the words that
Josephus, Paul, Luke and Epictetus would hear as distinct. Likewise, one should learn to hear as
identical, those words that they would hear as identical in sound. This will allow students to
approach the kinds of language feelings that the Koine speakers had in the Roman period and to
feel which words might rhyme or clash with other words.

Fortunately, a phonemic pronunciation system is fairly easy to determine for Koine Greek. We
have thousands of documents from the time period whose misspellings show us which sounds
were exactly the same for Koine Greek speakers and which sounds were distinct and phonemic
in their ears. This needs some caution, of course. Some misspellings reflect dialect differences and
some misspellings are just haphazard mistakes. However, when hundreds and thousands of
examples of the same kind of misspellings are found all over the Greek world, then we have found
items that sound alike to Koine Greek speakers.

Phonemic Vowels in Koine Greek

The following four vowel pairs are known to be equivalent within respective dialects throughout the
Mediterranean world of Koine Greek:

[ει] was pronounced the same as [ι].
[αι] was pronounced the same as [ε].
[ω] was pronounced the same as [ο].
[οι] was pronounced the same as [υ].

A few representative examples will help to make this clear. The illustrations below are mainly
from the few Dead Sea Scroll documents that we have in Greek, supplemented with papyri from
the Loeb Classical Library.

---

1 Please Note: this does not mean that an ω-μέγα would sound exactly the same as an ω-μικρόν in
another dialect. To the contrary, we may assume that there would be continual small changes from dialect to
dialect and even from village to village in some cases. What the above equivalencies mean is that within any
particular dialect, the ω-μέγα, however it is pronounced, will be pronounced like ω-μικρόν in that
dialect.

Likewise, the equivalencies do not mean that marginal dialects would not exist that did not follow the
equivalencies of the major, majority dialects. The equivalencies above point out the what a traveller would
hear in the majority dialects all over the Mediterranean, from Rome to Judea, from the Aegean to Egypt.

2 For further examples and discussion, see Francis Thomas Gignac, A Grammar of the Greek Papyri of the

3 More readable and with good grasp of linguistic development is: Geoffrey Horrocks, Greek, A History


1. ει for ι and ι for ει

It is certain that ει and ι were pronounced [i] for the Roman period Koine. Interchanges between these two are so common as to be almost uninteresting. Nevertheless, a few examples from the Dead Sea Babatha archive4 (124-31 CE), a Ben Kosiba (Bar Kokhba) letter5 (132-135 CE), and an Egyptian Greek letter (100 CE) may be of representative interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attestation</th>
<th>Normalized</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ben Kosiba 1.9</td>
<td>ιος</td>
<td>είς</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Kosiba 1.7</td>
<td>συνεξελθιν</td>
<td>συνεξελθεῖν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 25.4</td>
<td>επιδή [=epidē]</td>
<td>ἐπειδή [=epidē]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 25.6</td>
<td>νυναι</td>
<td>νυνί</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 15.8</td>
<td>ύμειν</td>
<td>ύμιν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papyrus 109.2</td>
<td>τοι οικειοι</td>
<td>τῷ υἱῳ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comment on iota adscript, written in texts:
The fact that a grammatical iota is often left off shows that it was no longer pronounced in the first century but was a grammatical spelling when correctly added, as in Papyrus 109.2 above. Cf. the lack in

Babatha 21.24 | ενι οικαστο | ἐνὶ οικάστῳ | ‘for each one’ |

2. αι for ε, ε for αι

This is also a widespread, certain vowel development by the Roman period Koine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attestation</th>
<th>Normalized</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papyrus 99.4</td>
<td>ειδηται</td>
<td>ειδήτε</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Kosiba line 11</td>
<td>ποιησηται</td>
<td>ποιήσητε</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 16.16</td>
<td>ανγαδδόν</td>
<td>(gen. pl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 11.1</td>
<td>εγγαδοι</td>
<td>(dat pl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 37.8</td>
<td>εταιροις</td>
<td>ἐτέροις</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 24.18</td>
<td>αποδιξε</td>
<td>ἀποδείξαι</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. ο for ο, ο for ο

Another certain, widespread substitution in the Roman period Koine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attestation</th>
<th>Normalized</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papyrus 100.2</td>
<td>ομυνο</td>
<td>ὀμυνύω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 15.10+11</td>
<td>ἡγεμονος</td>
<td>ἡγεμόνος</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babatha 20.16+40</td>
<td>ἀνθωμολογημενης</td>
<td>ἀνθωμολογημένης</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papyrus 109.14</td>
<td>αυτον</td>
<td>αὐτῶν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papyrus 109.15</td>
<td>ενπιρος</td>
<td>ἐμπείρως</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. οι for οι, and ο for οι

Another certain, widespread substitution in the Roman period Koine.

---

The Status of η

While the decision on the above four phonemic vowel sounds was easy and rather unambiguous, there are several points where a more cautious judgment and approach are necessary. The vowel [η] was somewhat unstable.

The vowel η became like ι and ει by the fourth century CE. Throughout the Roman period this vowel shows tendencies of confusion, especially by people who learned Greek as a second language. Gignac is of the opinion that η merged with ι in the second century CE. This means that some might want to drop this distinct sound from their Koine inventory. Such a decision would fit with the general trend of the language and fits smoothly with Modern Greek. (Principle #4.) However, the confusion with this vowel is not as regular as the vowel equivalencies mentioned above. Plus, η is sometimes mixed up with ει/ι and sometimes in the other direction with οι. It certainly does not approach the common equivalency that ει and ι have with each other throughout the period. Broadly speaking, it would appear that most people correctly used it as an equivalent for a close/mid-high [e] sound in the early Roman period. Consequently, we may conclude that most speakers in the first century maintained η as a separate phoneme. We may, for example, expect that Luke’s audiences expected to hear it or that Paul used it when preaching all over the Mediterranean. Nevertheless, there were people using Greek who were controlling this η vowel in a substandard manner and by the end of the Roman period it had disappeared from Greek speech, probably first among the uneducated and then by the upperclass.

Living Koine Greek for Everyone has included η as a separate vowel sound. It appears to have still had popular phonemic status in the early Roman period, so the phonemic principle (#2) supports this inclusion of a separate sound for η. It also carries a fairly heavy functional load within the phonological system so this is worthwhile keeping.

υ as a Consonant

[7] η is the most distinctive difference between Koine and Modern Greek. Modern Greek speakers accept υ and οι when pronounced [ü] because their ears interpret the sound as [i]. However, η as [e] is rejected because they hear it as [ε] though they would expect to hear [i]. For example, for ἤδη ‘already’, one must say [iði] ‘already’ and not [éði] in order to be understood in Greece today. Students wanting to speak both Modern Greek and Koine will need to be careful with η and may want to adopt the later, 6-vowel Koine dialect without [e].
During the Roman period the υ "upsilon" after vowels, (αυ, ευ, ηυ) also began to be assimilated to (φ, θ). Throughout the Roman period speakers were using consonantal patterns of a [w]-glide, perhaps becoming an unrounded glide and finally a bilabial fricative [β]. This Koine innovation of [β] has also been included in Living Koine Greek as consistent with the decision on consonants. See below. It is certainly the outcome of the Koine process.

Discussion of Consonants

Consonants are trickier to evaluate than the vowels because they tended to remain phonemic and are often preserved with a correct spelling regardless of how they were pronounced. Thus, the correct pronunciation is actually less important for them than for the vowels. The consonants were in a state of "etic" [non-significant] change throughout the Roman Koine period. They preserved their independent, phonemic status. But their pronunciation changed.

The voiced stops [β, δ, γ] appear to have fricativized first. Already at the beginning of the Roman period βῆτα was becoming a 'soft' bilabial fricative, probably like Spanish 'b' in Havana/Habana (the city). γάμμα became a velar fricative, and even a palatal fricative in conjunction with front vowels. During the Roman period δέλτα eventually became fricativized everywhere (like the English sound in 'this').

Notice the following examples of Latin words with [v,w] transcribed in Greek with [β].

- Σαλβίου for the Latin [Salvius] (1st century CE).
- πρεβέτοις for the Latin [privatus] (1st century CE)
- Φλαβία for the Latin [Flavia] (149 CE)
- Φλαουβίου and Φλαυβίας for the Latin [Flavius/-a] (120 CE)

Also, our earliest extensive NT papyri, p46 (late 2nd century), already has Σιλβανοῦ at 2Cor 1.19, corrected to Σιλουανοῦ.

Likewise, notice the examples of γάμμα reflecting a fricative abound, in some cases approaching a palatal [y] sound. The insertions and the substitutions with ι would not be probable without γάμμα having become a soft fricative.

ιγερου for ιερου ‘of holy’ (from 5 BCE)

---

8 For example, in our Dead Sea material, notice the extra ιυ (probably=consonantal [w] or even a faint bilabial) Babatha 21.2, 22.2 ΦΛΑΥΟΥΙΟΥ for Φλαουίου (Flavius).
9 A remarkable example, though uncharacteristically early, is in a Ptolemaic papyri with ῥαυδους for ῥάβδους (3 times). (See Gignac 1976:68, n.1).
10 Another interesting, early example comes from a papyrus from 35/36 CE. The first hand wrote Πνεβτῦνι, while a second hand corrected this to Πνευτῦνι. (Gignac 1976:68).
11 Examples are from Gignac (1976:68-70). See also footnote 9: Πνεβτῦνι/Πνευτῦνι.
12 Examples are from Gignac (1976:71-70).
It appears that voiced stops \([\beta, \gamma]\) had already gone soft by the first century.

On the other hand, it is impossible to know just when and where the corresponding voiceless stops \(\phi, \theta, \chi\) became fricatives like typical Erasmian ‘\(f\)’, ‘\(th\)’ and ‘\(ch\)’. Most of our colloquial papyri come from Egypt where the local Coptic seems to have encouraged a hard \(ph^h, th^h, kh^h\). With the voiceless fricatives we have Attic inscriptions with some evidence of soft forms already in the second century CE. We might assume that the complete ‘soft’ system [for \(\beta, \phi, \delta, \theta, \gamma, \chi\)] started in the north/Asia Minor. Some ancient Greek dialects were ‘soft’, like Laconian \(\sigmaio\) [=\(\thetaeio\)]. In any case, the Egyptian system of soft voiced consonants \(v, dh, gh\), and hard voiceless \(ph^h, th^h, kh^h\), is a complete inversion of current Erasmian practice, where Erasmian voiceless stops are ‘hard’ \(b, d, g\), and voiceless aspirated stops are fricatives \(f, th, ch\). For the voiceless consonants \(\phi, \theta, \chi\) the typical Erasmian soft pronunciations of \(\phi\epsiloni, \theta\eta\tau\alpha\) and \(\chi\xi\), common in academic circles today, were taken directly from Modern Greek. Historically, these modern sounds probably entered Greek pronunciation during the Roman imperial period. See below for a Dead Sea example where \(\theta\eta\tau\alpha\) may still have been hard, aspirated \(t\) just like modern English \(t\). The ancient Greek distinctions \(\phi, \theta, \chi\) vs. \(\pi, \tau, \kappa\) were between hard, aspirated stop sounds like English ‘\(p\)’ ‘\(t\)’ ‘\(k\)’ and between unaspirated Spanish ‘\(p\)’ ‘\(t\)’ ‘\(k\)’. Notice:

BenKosiba 1.8  
\[
\alpha\nu\alpha\delta\thetaη\sigma\epsilon\tau\alphai \quad \alpha\nu\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\alphai \quad \text{‘he will stand up’}
\]

(The \(theta\) may still have been hard, an aspirated stop like in Egypt, in order to substitute for \(tau\). However, other areas were probably starting to use soft \(theta\).)

Inscription Graeca 2.11507 (2 CE)  
\[
\varepsilon\rho\rho\omicron\upsilon\upsilon \quad \varepsilon\upsilon\rho\rho\omicron\upsilon\upsilon\quad \varepsilon\rho\rho\omicron\upsilon\upsilon\quad \varepsilon\upsilon\rho\rho\omicron\upsilon\upsilon
\]

(This probably shows a dialect where \(\phi\) is soft and \(v\) is being absorbed/assimilated as the same sound.)

NB: if the soft pronunciation is accepted for the voiceless consonants \(\phi, \theta, \chi\) as in Erasmian, then consistency would demand that the historically earlier, or more certain, voiced fricatives \(\beta, \delta, \gamma\) are also used.

A practical approach on these consonants \([\beta, \delta, \gamma, \phi, \theta, \chi]\) is outlined here.

1. To recognize that whichever pronunciation we follow, we will be able to preserve its phonemic status to a high level of consistency. \(Theta\) will still pattern as \(theta\), whether pronounced a hard \(theta\) or soft \(theta\).

2. To accept the flow of the language and recognize that the etic pronunciation of the consonant system was undergoing change, (already in the first century, especially in northeastern dialects), and changing towards the 4-5th century stabilization where they are all fricatives around the Mediterranean. Principle #4 would support the soft fricative pronunciation. Depending on where someone like Luke was on this continuum, he may have sounded like lisping when speaking \(f, th, ch\), in Jerusalem, or he may have sounded ‘sharp’ when speaking around the Aegean.

3. For consistency within the linguistic system, it would be simplest theoretically and practically

---

13 Geoffrey Horrocks, \(Greek, A History of the Language and its Speakers\). Longman, 1997:112-113 “There is also possible evidence for a fricative pronunciation of \(kh^h\) (second century BC) and \(ph^h\) (second century AD) in the Asia Minor Koine. . . . Though the evidence is frankly meagre, it would perhaps be reasonable to assume that frication in the Koine began in various areas outside Egypt during the Hellenistic period and that it had been widely, though by no means universally, carried through by the end of the fourth century AD.”
to either keep all six \([\beta, \phi, \delta, \theta, \chi]\) ‘hard’, or all six ‘soft’ \([\beta, \phi, \delta, \theta, \chi]\). Principle #3 supports a ‘soft’ pronunciation and consistency would support making them all soft for an assumed “Aegean” dialect.

3. To recognize that the contrast \([p^h, t^h, k^h]\) versus \([p, t, k]\) is not phonemic in Western European languages and unnecessarily difficult. Principle #5 would support the soft pronunciation.

4. Thus, for phonemic Koine Greek we may accept the Erasmian (=modern) voiceless fricatives \([\phi, \theta, \chi]\) on practical and historical grounds. This was the direction in which the consonants were already in the process of moving. The voiced fricatives \([\beta, \gamma, \delta]\) can be accepted on historical principles already for the first century for both the Aegean and Egypt. In sum, the most practical and most historical mix would be to use something close to the Modern Greek consonants for Koine.

**Aspiration**

During ancient times Greek had an /h/ phoneme that would be used at the beginning of some words. Words beginning with \(υ\) always had this, as well as the demonstrative words and relative pronouns (\(ο\̂υτος, \ ο̄, \ ή̄, \ ο̄ς, \ ο̄\)). However, even in some dialects in early times, like Ionic, this /h/ was not pronounced. In the Athenian spelling reform of 403 BCE, the ancient sign for this aspiration \(H\) was dropped out of the general writing system. Instead, they started using that old aspiration symbol for the long form of the \(ε\) vowel. This is now our \(η\), the vowel that has become \([e]\) in Koine.\(^{14}\)

Gignac lists many examples where there is consonant confusion in Koine times between \((\pi, \tau, \kappa)\) and \((\phi, \theta, \chi)\) before words beginning with aspiration. For example, with \(ο\̂υ\ ‘not’ before words that begin with vowels with rough breathing (historical /h/) one expects \(ο\̂υ\̄χ\), as in \(ο\̂υχ\̄έξ\ ‘not six’. Before words with an initial vowel without rough breathing one expects \(ο\̂υκ\̄\̄έξ\): \(ο\̂υκ\̄έξ\ α\̄υτοւ ‘not out of it’. The lack of consistency of this phenomenon in both directions in Koine texts shows that aspiration had fallen out of common use. Gignac writes: “[these data-RB]…point to a loss of initial aspiration in the speech of many writers. Aspiration has not survived into Modern Greek. It was lost during the period of the Koine.” (Gignac 1976:137-138.) Some of Gignac’s examples follow.

\[
\begin{align*}
επ\ οις & \text{ for } \epsilonφ\ οἰς & \text{‘on which’ (46 CE)} \\
απηλικοσ & \text{ for } \alphaφηλικοσ & \text{‘of a minor’ (134 CE)} \\
καθ\ ετος & \text{ for } \κατ’\ ετος & \text{‘annually’ (26 BCE)} \\
εφιδη & \text{ for } \epsilonπίδη & \text{‘he might oversee’ (37 CE)} \\
εφιορκουντι & \text{ for } \epsilonπιορκοουντι & \text{‘perjuring’ (30 BCE)}
\end{align*}
\]

Since aspiration fell out of common speech during Koine times, since the sound was not part of the writing system in Koine times, and since it does not fit with Modern Greek\(^{15}\), it seems in keeping with the Koine pronunciation of consonants to drop aspiration from the pronunciation system. This follows principle #4, and principles #2 and #3 for the majority of Koine speakers.

---

\(^{14}\) Conservative spelling continued to mark aspiration in some of the ancient inscriptions by using the front half of the old letter for aspiration (ʻ).

\(^{15}\) A century ago, Moulton wrote, “de-aspiration was the prevailing tendency … part of the general tendency which started from the Ionic and Aeolic of Asia Minor and became universal, as Modern Greek shows.” (James Hope Moulton, *A Grammar of New Testament Greek*, vol. 1 Prolegomena, 3rd ed. Edinburgh: T. and T. Clark, 1908, p. 44)
Why Use A Phonemic Koine?

Phonemic Koine results in a dialect in which the user will appreciate the sounds and writings of the first three Christian centuries in a manner in which the original audiences would have perceived them.

This may only become an issue when someone wants to invest the time and energy to become truly fluent in the language, able to think, listen and read at normal conversational speeds. After such work would the student like to end up feeling like and sounding somewhat Greek? Hopefully. For those coming from a different system, a relatively small change at the beginning of the renewal effort is worthwhile. Additionally, the phonemic Koine is close enough to Modern Greek so that Greek speakers accept it as ‘something Greek’ and ‘non-offensive’, even if not the same dialect. It also serves as a bridge between the ancient and modern dialects for those wishing to control both.

From the other direction: professional conferences are not a very compelling reason for preserving something that is historically out of sync or that joins some phonemes incorrectly. At professional conferences audiences can only be assumed to follow written texts and any dialect can be used.

It would obviously be an advantage to be able to listen to Koine texts and perceive them rapidly and within the same linguistic framework as the original audience. That is the goal of Living Koine Greek.

Comparison of Other Systems of Pronunciation

There are approximately four different kinds of pronunciation systems available for reading Greek. They are summarized below and followed by a comparative table of their vowels.

Alternative Pronunciation 1
Modern Greek

\[\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & = \varepsilon \eta = \upsilon = \omega \\
\text{also } \alpha & = \varepsilon \\
\text{and } \omega & = \sigma
\end{align*}\]

Consonants \(\beta, \delta, \gamma, \phi, \theta, \chi\) are soft, fricatives.

This would be a good option based on principle #4. However, it contravenes the phonemic character of Koine Greek by ignoring two vowel phonemes. This is probably best reserved for those who are already fluent in the modern language. A compromise would be to use a 6-vowel Koine, adding one phoneme \(\omega/\upsilon\) \([\ddot{u}]\) to the Modern system.

---

Students are free to add aspiration as they wish, though one may imagine that such would have been thought stuffy or snobbish in the first century. There were probably still some features of a classical Greek that were consciously learned by the upper classes and in which [h] would be learned and heard. In keeping with later medieval practice, the rough breathing marks are written in Living Koine Greek wherever the miniscule [small letter] writing system is used. Thus, \(\epsilon λη\) and not \(ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΗ\). Access to the information about rough breathings is provided in the writing system and by correct drilling of the consonant harmony in words like \(καθ’\) and \(οὐχ\). Homonyms that are distinguished by \(’\) in the writing system will be pointed out.
Alternative Pronunciation 2
Allen-Daitz Restored Attic

ω is longer and phonetically lower than ο (τω˘, τό˘, vowel heights are opposite from Erasmian)

η is longer and phonetically lower than ε (ἐτι, ἡδη˘, vowel heights are opposite from Erasmian)

The vowels ι, α, υ have long and short phonemes that should be distinguished.

Consonants β, δ, γ are ‘hard’ and φ, θ, χ are also hard, aspirated-stops.

The Allen-Daitz system scores high on principles #2 and #3 for its own period. But it contravenes principle #1. It is true to the old poetic tradition and should be given consideration for those mainly interested in Greek epic, poetry and plays.

However, it is a relatively difficult system for speakers of English, Spanish, French and German. It requires phonemic contrasts that are not in use in the above languages (unaspirated voiceless stops to be readily produced and distinguished from aspirated voiceless stops, plus phonemic vowel length). In addition, it adds features of tone (acute accent versus circumflex) that require higher processing energy for those who are generating speech and not simply reciting or reading texts. So, principles #1 and #5 argue for other systems. Principles #2 and #3 are contravened for Koine, and it likewise is unredeemably distant from fulfilling #4 and any natural rapprochement with Modern Greek.

Alternative Pronunciation 3
Erasmian (US version):

υ (before vowels) = ου. E.g., λύω ‘I untie’ = λούω ‘I wash’, pronounced identically.

ει = η. E.g., βλέπει = βλέπῃ ‘he sees’, both indicative and subjunctive pronounced identically.

ο is lower than ω, (τω˘, τό˘)

Vowel length is ignored.

ε is lower than η (ἐτι, ἡδη˘)

Consonants φ, θ, (χ) are soft, fricative, but β, δ, γ are hard (historically backwards from 1st century!)

The vowels contravene the phonemic principle (#2) in two directions. Two vowel symbols (ει, υ) are joined to the wrong phonemes for Koine. Then several vowels are artificially differentiated so that homonyms, rhyme and ambiguity for the original audience are masked over. Principle #3 is also contravened in two directions. The voiced fricatives are pronounced hard, but the voiceless aspirated stops are pronounced soft as fricatives. It is also out of step with principle #4, since it neither leads on to modern, nor is it true to the classical Attic. Its main claim is that it represents the academic status quo. This last concern would seem irrelevant for those interested in becoming fluent in Koine. Academic audiences rely on written texts, in any case, and cannot even be assumed to follow Erasmian pronunciation.17

17 The author of this book has had to use all four of the above systems at various stages in his career. Switching from one to the other is certainly possible at various stages, especially when one is not fluently speaking the language but only reading written texts. However, when building toward fluency, it is recommended to use the system that one plans to end up with.
The Koine pronunciation adopted in this course reasonably meets all of the criteria listed at the beginning of this chapter.

#1 It is specific for the Koine of the Roman period.

#2 It preserves the phonemic system that the speakers of the period were using. Most importantly for understanding what sounded the same and different and for understanding the spelling within actual manuscripts, the vowels are correct.\footnote{Those interested in papyrology, reading ancient documents and text criticism will find that a Koine pronunciation is more helpful than either of the classical options. A full modern pronunciation is useful for texts from the mid-first millennium CE, meaning that οι, υ, and η had joined with ει, ι by that time and were often confused by scribes.}

#3 It partially meets the historical criterion. The voiced consonants are correctly fricatives. With regard to aspirated voiceless consonants it has chosen the fricative set as a potential minority dialect for the first century and in line with principles 4 and 5 for the later Roman period. (Voiceless fricatives also match Modern and Erasmian).

#4 It is quite close to a modern pronunciation. Students will find themselves partially prepared for the Modern dialect, should they choose to learn it.

#5 It is practical, choosing to follow φ, θ, χ from the majority dialect at the end of the Roman period because of ease of learning and their fit with principle #4.

Koine offers both the historical integrity that scholars will appreciate (principles #2 and #3) and a Greek-sounding dialect that is more harmonious for those who use the Modern language (principle #4).

The 7-vowel phonemic sound system in Koine Greek,

written with 11 symbol combinations:

\[ \begin{align*}
ι &= ει \\
η &= \\
ε &= αι \\
α &= \\
ο &= ω \\
ου &= \\
υ &= οι
\end{align*} \]
Tongue Position Chart for Roman Period Koine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Front</th>
<th>Rounded</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>ι, ει</td>
<td>ι, οι</td>
<td></td>
<td>ου</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>η</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/Low</td>
<td>ε, αι</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>α</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sound that is written with ι and ει is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively forward and high for a vowel. The lips are unrounded.

The sound that is written with η is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively forward and with medium height for a vowel. The lips are unrounded.

The sound that is written with ε and αι is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively forward and medium-low for a vowel. The lips are unrounded.

The sound that is written with υ and οι is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively forward and high for a vowel, like ι and ει. Plus, the lips are tightly rounded like ου.

The sound that is written with α is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively central and low for a vowel. The lips are unrounded.

The sound that is written with ου is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively back and high for a vowel. The lips are rounded.

The sound that is written with ο and ω is made with the highest part of the tongue relatively back and medium to medium-low height for a vowel. The lips are rounded.
Table of Vowels in Comparative Pronunciation Systems

Each horizontal solid line divides a single sound-group, phoneme, into the orthographic representations for each system. That is, within each phoneme band in the table, there are lists of the various ways that each system maps symbols to that sound. (*The vowel lengths of the Allen-Daitz system have been ignored for this table and are merged into the distinctive vowel heights. Thus, only one phoneme is listed for ι, υ, and α, though both long and short phonemes of each were in the Attic system.)

For example, the first sound listed, a high front unrounded vowel /i/, has five Modern Greek orthographic representations, 2 Roman period Koine representations and only one Attic and Erasmian representation. The second sound listed, a high front rounded vowel /ü/, does not have a Modern representation or a US Erasmian representation but is mapped to two Koine orthographic representations and one Attic orthographic symbol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Phoneme)</th>
<th>Attic (Allen-Daitz)</th>
<th>Erasmus (U.S. version)</th>
<th>Koine (Roman Period)</th>
<th>Modern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>맛</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>έι</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>η</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Φοί</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/i/</td>
<td>θυ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>θυ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ü/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Φοί</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/e/</td>
<td>έι</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>η</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ε/</td>
<td>ε</td>
<td>ε</td>
<td>ε</td>
<td>ιε</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/αι/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ιε</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/æ/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ιε</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/a/</td>
<td>Α</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/ɔ/</td>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>Φ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/o//</td>
<td>Ο</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>Ο</td>
<td>Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/o+i//</td>
<td>Φοι</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Φοι</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>